

Hexakis(2-amino-4-methylpyridine- κN^1)-dioxidohexa- μ_4 -sulfido-hexacopper(I)-divanadium(V)

Zhang Yu, Guodong Tang, Jianying Zhao and Zhengjing Jiang*

Department of Chemistry, Huaiyin Teachers College, Huai'an 223300, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: yuzhang@hytc.edu.cn


Received 3 June 2008; accepted 23 August 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 293$ K; mean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.010$ Å; R factor = 0.051; wR factor = 0.128; data-to-parameter ratio = 18.9.

The title compound, $[Cu_6V_2O_2S_6(C_6H_8N_2)_6]$, is constructed from six CuS_3N and two VOS_3 distorted tetrahedra, forming an octanuclear V/S/Cu cluster with C_i symmetry. The geometry around the V atoms is slightly distorted tetrahedral, while there are large distortions from ideal tetrahedral geometry for the Cu atoms. Adjacent metal-metal distances range from 2.693 (1) to 2.772 (10) Å, indicating weak metal-metal interactions in the cluster.

Related literature

The most relevant known analog of the title compound is hexakis(μ_4 -sulfido)-dioxohexakis(triphenylphosphine)-hexacopper(I)divanadium(V) (Zheng *et al.*, 2001). For related literature, see: Du *et al.* (1992); Holm (1992); Hou *et al.* (1996); Liu *et al.* (1995); Naruta *et al.* (1994); Zhang *et al.* (1996, 2001).



Experimental

Crystal data

$[Cu_6V_2O_2S_6(C_6H_8N_2)_6]$
 $M_r = 1356.34$
 Hexagonal, $R\bar{3}$
 $a = 14.139$ (2) Å
 $c = 20.830$ (4) Å
 $V = 3606.2$ (10) Å³

$Z = 3$
 Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 3.28$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 293$ (2) K
 $0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.15$ mm

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer
 Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2000)
 $T_{min} = 0.465$, $T_{max} = 0.611$

6168 measured reflections
 1837 independent reflections
 1092 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{int} = 0.054$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.050$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.128$
 $S = 1.02$
 1837 reflections

97 parameters
 H-atom parameters constrained
 $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.58$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.69$ e Å⁻³

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2004); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2004); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97 and PLATON (Spek, 2003).

This project was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Educational Office

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BV2103).

References

- Bruker (2000). SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
 Bruker (2004). APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
 Du, S. W., Zhu, N. Y., Chen, P. C. & Wu, X. T. (1992). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **31**, 1085–1089.
 Farrugia, L. J. (1997). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **30**, 565.
 Holm, R. H. (1992). *Adv. Inorg. Chem.* **38**, 1–10.
 Hou, H. W., Xin, X. Q. & Shi, S. (1996). *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **153**, 25–56.
 Liu, Q. T., Yang, Y., Huang, L. R., Wu, D. X., Kang, B. S., Chen, C. N., Deng, Y. H. & Lu, J. X. (1995). *Inorg. Chem.* **34**, 1884–1893.
 Naruta, Y., Sasayama, M. & Sasaki, T. (1994). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **33**, 1839–1843.
 Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
 Spek, A. L. (2003). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **36**, 7–13.
 Zhang, C., Jin, G., Chen, J., Xin, X. & Qian, K. (2001). *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **213**, 51–72.
 Zhang, H. H., Yu, X. F., Yang, R. S., Zheng, F. K., Huang, L. Y. & Zhou, R. P. (1996). *Chin. J. Struct. Chem.* **15**, 353–357.
 Zheng, F. K., Guo, G. C., Zhou, G. W., Zhang, X. & Huang, J. S. (2001). *Chin. J. Struct. Chem.* **20**, 489–493.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2008). E64, m1217 [doi:10.1107/S1600536808027165]

Hexakis(2-amino-4-methylpyridine- κN^1)dioxidohexa- μ_4 -sulfido-hexacopper(I)divanadium(V)

Z. Yu, G. Tang, J. Zhao and Z. Jiang

Comment

In the past two decades, considerable attention has been directed to the study of tetrathiometalate anions $[MXS_3]^{n-}$ ($X=O, S$; $M=V, Mo, W, Re$) cluster compounds, since these complexes play a special role in catalysis reactions (Du *et al.*, 1992), biological processes (Holm *et al.*, 1992) and advanced materials (Naruta *et al.*, 1994). These moieties can react as multidentate ligands with a wide variety of metal ions, such as Cu, Ag, Au, Zn, Cd, Hg, Fe, Co, Ni, Pd, Pt, Sn, and Ru to form a wide range of novel structures (Hou *et al.*, 1996). More than 300 heterothiometallic cluster compounds containing these moieties have been synthesized and extensively studied (Zhang *et al.*, 2001). However, crystal structures of these clusters containing 2-amino-4-methylpyridine ligands have not been reported until now.

In order to explore the chemistry of Mo(W)/S/Cu(Ag) clusters extensively, we have synthesized such a cluster by reaction in solution at normal temperatures. The solid-state molecular structure of the octanuclear neutral cluster 1 is shown in Fig. 1. It contains a cluster core $[V_2Cu_6S_6O_2]$, of which the V_2Cu_6 atoms form a distorted cube, shown in Fig. 2. Each μ_4 -S atom is bonded to three Cu atoms and one V atom constructing each face of the dodecahedron. The geometry around the V atoms is slightly distorted tetrahedral with S–V–S 109.97 (5)° and S–V–O 108.97 (5)°, and the V–S bonds, 2.2382 (15) Å, are somewhat longer than those of the free $[VS_4]^{3-}$ anion as expected [2.17 Å in the ammonium salt]. The coordination geometry of every Cu atom, bonded to three μ_4 -S atoms and one terminal ligand 2-amino-4-methylpyridine, is strongly distorted from an ideal tetrahedron with S–Cu–N angles varying from 104.52 (13)° to 121.11 (14)°. This phenomenon may arise from the steric effect of the bulky 2-amino-4-methylpyridine ligands. The Cu–N distance of 2.033 (4) Å is somewhat longer than the Cu–N distance found in $[V_2S_4O_3(CuPPh_3)_4(CuMeCN)_2]$ complexes (Zhang *et al.*, 1996). The Cu–S distances between 2.2886 (15) Å and 2.4701 (16) Å are comparable to those reported in $(Et_4N)_3[(VS_4Cu_4(Et_2dtc)(PhS)_3]$ (Et_2dtc =diethyldithiocarbamate) complexes (mean Cu–S = 2.236 (5) Å)(Liu *et al.*, 1995).

In the preparation of the title compound, one S atom of the $[VS_4]^{3-}$ unit is replaced by an O atom and $[VS_4]^{3-}$ becomes $[VS_3O]^{3-}$. The V–O distance 1.618 (6) Å is a typical double bond distance. The adjacent metal-metal distances range from 2.6932 (11) Å to 2.7725 (10) Å, and are slightly shorter than normal V–Cu and Cu–Cu distances, indicating that there are weak metal-metal interactions. The terminal 2-amino-4-methylpyridine ligand is present in the usual monodentate mode. The C1–N1, C5–N1 and C1–N2 distances of 1.344 (6) Å, 1.344 (7) Å and 1.350 (7) Å, respectively, are typical Csp^2 – Nsp^2 values.

Experimental

To a solution of 2-amino-4-methylpyridine (0.0230 g, 0.1 mmol) in dimethylformamide (DMF) (10 ml) were added a solution of CuI (0.0741 g, 0.2 mmol) and $(NH_4)_3VS_4$ suspended in DMF (5 ml). The reaction mixture was stirred at room tem-

supplementary materials

perature for about 8 h. The deep brown solution was filtered and slow diffusion of *i*-PrOH/MeCN to the solution, resulted in black prismatic crystals suitable for X-ray analysis.

Refinement

The amino hydrogen atoms were found from Fourier difference maps and fixed with N—H bond lengths of 0.90 Å. The H atoms of the aromatic group were geometrically idealized. All the H atoms were refined isotropically with isotropic vibration parameters related to the atoms to which they are bonded with $U_{\text{iso}} = 1.2 U_{\text{eq}}$ ($U_{\text{iso}} = 1.5 U_{\text{eq}}$ for methyl H atoms).

Figures




Fig. 1. The molecular structure of (I), with atom labels and 30% probability displacement ellipsoids. All H atoms have been omitted.




Fig. 2. Cubic arrangement of metal atoms.

Hexakis(2-amino-4-methylpyridine- κN^1)dioxidohexa- μ_4 -sulfido- λ hexacopper(I)divanadium(V)

Crystal data

[Cu₆V₂O₂S₆(C₆H₈N₂)₆]

$M_r = 1356.34$

Hexagonal, $R\bar{3}$

Hall symbol: $-R\bar{3}$

$a = 14.139(2) \text{ \AA}$

$b = 14.139(2) \text{ \AA}$

$c = 20.830(4) \text{ \AA}$

$\alpha = 90^\circ$

$\beta = 90^\circ$

$\gamma = 120^\circ$

$V = 3606.2(10) \text{ \AA}^3$

$Z = 3$

$F_{000} = 2040$

$D_x = 1.874 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$

Cell parameters from 6634 reflections

$\theta = 1.9\text{--}27.5^\circ$

$\mu = 3.28 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

$T = 293(2) \text{ K}$

Block, black

$0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer	1837 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1092 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.054$
$T = 293(2)$ K	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^\circ$
φ and ω scans	$\theta_{\text{min}} = 1.9^\circ$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2000)	$h = -17 \rightarrow 18$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.465$, $T_{\text{max}} = 0.611$	$k = -12 \rightarrow 18$
6168 measured reflections	$l = -26 \rightarrow 27$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.050$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.128$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0525P)^2]$
$S = 1.02$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
1837 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$
97 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.58 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.69 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
	Extinction correction: none

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Cu1	0.15031 (5)	0.98780 (5)	0.96002 (3)	0.0233 (3)
V1	0.0000	1.0000	0.88657 (7)	0.0207 (4)
S1	0.14481 (11)	0.99064 (11)	1.07850 (6)	0.0200 (4)
N1	0.2966 (4)	1.0004 (4)	0.9392 (2)	0.0247 (12)
N2	0.2279 (4)	0.8233 (4)	0.9034 (2)	0.0412 (14)

supplementary materials

H2A	0.1631	0.8107	0.9120	0.049*
H2B	0.2373	0.7723	0.8878	0.049*
C2	0.4198 (5)	0.9427 (5)	0.9031 (3)	0.0269 (14)
H2C	0.4295	0.8870	0.8865	0.032*
O1	0.0000	1.0000	0.8089 (3)	0.0252 (16)
C1	0.3149 (5)	0.9230 (5)	0.9147 (2)	0.0227 (13)
C4	0.4909 (5)	1.1207 (5)	0.9417 (3)	0.0309 (15)
H4A	0.5492	1.1893	0.9518	0.037*
C5	0.3854 (5)	1.0969 (5)	0.9528 (3)	0.0296 (15)
H5A	0.3749	1.1511	0.9710	0.036*
C3	0.5092 (5)	1.0422 (5)	0.9155 (3)	0.0255 (14)
C6	0.6221 (5)	1.0633 (6)	0.9020 (3)	0.0424 (18)
H6A	0.6744	1.1371	0.9134	0.064*
H6B	0.6289	1.0522	0.8571	0.064*
H6C	0.6356	1.0139	0.9267	0.064*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Cu1	0.0191 (4)	0.0213 (4)	0.0307 (4)	0.0111 (4)	0.0002 (3)	0.0007 (3)
V1	0.0197 (6)	0.0197 (6)	0.0226 (9)	0.0098 (3)	0.000	0.000
S1	0.0180 (8)	0.0194 (8)	0.0234 (7)	0.0099 (7)	-0.0012 (6)	0.0018 (6)
N1	0.027 (3)	0.030 (3)	0.020 (2)	0.016 (3)	-0.002 (2)	-0.003 (2)
N2	0.026 (3)	0.030 (3)	0.063 (4)	0.011 (3)	0.012 (3)	-0.007 (3)
C2	0.025 (4)	0.034 (4)	0.030 (3)	0.022 (3)	0.006 (3)	-0.002 (3)
O1	0.030 (2)	0.030 (2)	0.016 (3)	0.0150 (12)	0.000	0.000
C1	0.024 (4)	0.023 (3)	0.021 (3)	0.012 (3)	-0.002 (3)	-0.003 (3)
C4	0.022 (4)	0.029 (4)	0.039 (4)	0.010 (3)	-0.003 (3)	-0.003 (3)
C5	0.031 (4)	0.021 (4)	0.037 (4)	0.013 (3)	0.004 (3)	-0.004 (3)
C3	0.024 (4)	0.037 (4)	0.022 (3)	0.020 (3)	-0.001 (3)	0.003 (3)
C6	0.029 (4)	0.066 (5)	0.040 (4)	0.030 (4)	0.006 (3)	0.006 (4)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Cu1—N1	2.033 (4)	N1—C5	1.344 (7)
Cu1—S1 ⁱ	2.2886 (15)	N1—C1	1.344 (6)
Cu1—S1 ⁱⁱ	2.3353 (15)	N2—C1	1.350 (7)
Cu1—S1	2.4701 (16)	N2—H2A	0.8600
Cu1—V1	2.6932 (11)	N2—H2B	0.8600
Cu1—Cu1 ⁱⁱ	2.7725 (10)	C2—C3	1.366 (8)
Cu1—Cu1 ⁱ	2.7725 (10)	C2—C1	1.387 (7)
V1—O1	1.618 (6)	C2—H2C	0.9300
V1—S1 ⁱⁱ	2.2382 (15)	C4—C3	1.373 (8)
V1—S1 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.2382 (15)	C4—C5	1.374 (7)
V1—S1 ⁱ	2.2382 (15)	C4—H4A	0.9300
V1—Cu1 ^{iv}	2.6932 (11)	C5—H5A	0.9300
V1—Cu1 ^v	2.6932 (11)	C3—C6	1.498 (7)

S1—V1 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.2382 (15)	C6—H6A	0.9600
S1—Cu1 ⁱⁱ	2.2886 (15)	C6—H6B	0.9600
S1—Cu1 ⁱ	2.3353 (15)	C6—H6C	0.9600
N1—Cu1—S1 ⁱ	121.11 (14)	S1 ⁱⁱⁱ —V1—Cu1	126.41 (7)
N1—Cu1—S1 ⁱⁱ	107.71 (14)	S1 ⁱ —V1—Cu1	54.36 (4)
S1 ⁱ —Cu1—S1 ⁱⁱ	104.91 (7)	Cu1 ^{iv} —V1—Cu1	90.91 (4)
N1—Cu1—S1	104.52 (13)	Cu1 ^v —V1—Cu1	90.91 (4)
S1 ⁱ —Cu1—S1	109.83 (6)	V1 ⁱⁱⁱ —S1—Cu1 ⁱⁱ	73.01 (5)
S1 ⁱⁱ —Cu1—S1	108.29 (5)	V1 ⁱⁱⁱ —S1—Cu1 ⁱ	72.12 (5)
N1—Cu1—V1	132.40 (12)	Cu1 ⁱⁱ —S1—Cu1 ⁱ	112.24 (6)
S1 ⁱ —Cu1—V1	52.63 (4)	V1 ⁱⁱⁱ —S1—Cu1	111.28 (7)
S1 ⁱⁱ —Cu1—V1	52.27 (4)	Cu1 ⁱⁱ —S1—Cu1	71.15 (4)
S1—Cu1—V1	122.30 (5)	Cu1 ⁱ —S1—Cu1	70.41 (4)
N1—Cu1—Cu1 ⁱⁱ	114.62 (14)	C5—N1—C1	116.4 (5)
S1 ⁱ —Cu1—Cu1 ⁱⁱ	124.25 (4)	C5—N1—Cu1	115.9 (4)
S1 ⁱⁱ —Cu1—Cu1 ⁱⁱ	57.07 (4)	C1—N1—Cu1	127.6 (4)
S1—Cu1—Cu1 ⁱⁱ	51.37 (4)	C1—N2—H2A	120.0
V1—Cu1—Cu1 ⁱⁱ	90.71 (3)	C1—N2—H2B	120.0
N1—Cu1—Cu1 ⁱ	127.78 (13)	H2A—N2—H2B	120.0
S1 ⁱ —Cu1—Cu1 ⁱ	57.48 (4)	C3—C2—C1	121.3 (5)
S1 ⁱⁱ —Cu1—Cu1 ⁱ	123.48 (4)	C3—C2—H2C	119.4
S1—Cu1—Cu1 ⁱ	52.52 (4)	C1—C2—H2C	119.4
V1—Cu1—Cu1 ⁱ	90.71 (3)	N1—C1—N2	118.1 (5)
Cu1 ⁱⁱ —Cu1—Cu1 ⁱ	87.63 (4)	N1—C1—C2	121.6 (5)
O1—V1—S1 ⁱⁱ	108.97 (5)	N2—C1—C2	120.2 (5)
O1—V1—S1 ⁱⁱⁱ	108.97 (5)	C3—C4—C5	119.3 (5)
S1 ⁱⁱ —V1—S1 ⁱⁱⁱ	109.97 (5)	C3—C4—H4A	120.3
O1—V1—S1 ⁱ	108.97 (5)	C5—C4—H4A	120.3
S1 ⁱⁱ —V1—S1 ⁱ	109.97 (5)	N1—C5—C4	124.1 (5)
S1 ⁱⁱⁱ —V1—S1 ⁱ	109.97 (5)	N1—C5—H5A	117.9
O1—V1—Cu1 ^{iv}	124.62 (3)	C4—C5—H5A	117.9
S1 ⁱⁱ —V1—Cu1 ^{iv}	54.36 (4)	C2—C3—C4	117.2 (5)
S1 ⁱⁱⁱ —V1—Cu1 ^{iv}	55.61 (4)	C2—C3—C6	121.0 (5)
S1 ⁱ —V1—Cu1 ^{iv}	126.41 (7)	C4—C3—C6	121.8 (6)
O1—V1—Cu1 ^v	124.62 (3)	C3—C6—H6A	109.5
S1 ⁱⁱ —V1—Cu1 ^v	126.41 (7)	C3—C6—H6B	109.5
S1 ⁱⁱⁱ —V1—Cu1 ^v	54.36 (4)	H6A—C6—H6B	109.5
S1 ⁱ —V1—Cu1 ^v	55.61 (4)	C3—C6—H6C	109.5
Cu1 ^{iv} —V1—Cu1 ^v	90.91 (4)	H6A—C6—H6C	109.5
O1—V1—Cu1	124.62 (3)	H6B—C6—H6C	109.5
S1 ⁱⁱ —V1—Cu1	55.61 (4)		

supplementary materials

Symmetry codes: (i) $y-1, -x+y, -z+2$; (ii) $x-y+1, x+1, -z+2$; (iii) $-x, -y+2, -z+2$; (iv) $-y+1, x-y+2, z$; (v) $-x+y-1, -x+1, z$.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

